ENDOPOLYGALACTURONASE FROM TOMATO FRUIT*

WILLIAM J. HUNTER and GERALD H. ELKAN

Department of Microbiology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607, USA

(Revised Received 3 April 1974)

Key Word Index—Lycopersicon esculentum, Solanaceae, endopolygalacturonase, tomato enzymes, pectic enzymes, pectic acid, tomato pectic enzymes, tomato fruit ripening

Abstract—A polygalacturonase was extracted from ripening tomato fruit. A four step procedure was developed producing a 44-fold increase in specific activity with 9% recovery. The enzyme was found to rapidly degrade pectic acid but not pectin. No transeliminase activity was detected. Viscosity and per cent hydrolysis studies formed a basis for suggesting that this enzyme cleaves its substrate in a random manner and is likely to be an endopolygalacturonase.

INTRODUCTION

DURING ripening, the intercellular pectin material of the tomato fruit is weakened by pectic enzymes. Pectinesterase de-esterifies the pectin material, converting it to pectic acid. Pectic acid is then depolymerized by the action of hydrolytic polygalacturonases. Two polygalacturonases appear to be involved in the process. One produces a more rapid reduction in viscosity compared with percentage hydrolysis of the substrate than the other; the former is characteristic of an endopolygalacturonase and the latter of an exopolygalacturonase. A

The present investigation concerns the purification of tomato endopolygalacturonase. Some time ago Patel and Phaff⁵ obtained a $5.5 \times$ increase in specific activity of tomato polygalacturonase.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The current work obtained a higher degree of purification. A 44-fold increase in specific activity was achieved, with a 9% recovery. The procedure involved four major steps which are summarized in Table 1. Column chromatography, the last step in the purification procedure, yielded three protein peaks The first peak eluted with or near the void volume, a second peak came off shortly thereafter, and a third peak trailed. Polygalacturonase activity corresponded with the second peak.

Characterization studies suggest that this enzyme is a hydrolytic endopolygalacturonase. The substrate specificity indicates that this enzyme rapidly degrades pectic acid but

- * Paper number 4278 of the Journal Series of the North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station, Raleigh
- ¹ HOBSON, G E (1963) Biochem J 86, 358
- ² Demain, A L and Phaff, H J (1957) J Agr Food Chem 5, 60
- ³ BESFORD, R T and HOBSON, G E (1972) Phytochemistry 11, 2201
- ⁴ Pressey, R and Avants, J K (1973) Biochim Biophys Acta 309, 363
- ⁵ PATEL, D S and PHAFF, H J (1960) Food Res 25, 37

column

| Fraction | Volume (ml) | Fnzyme* (units/ml) | Protein† (mg/ml) | Specific activity | Recovery ("o) | Purification |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|
| (1) NaCl buffer | | | | | | |
| extract | 3000 | 35 | 1.3 | 27 | 100 | none |
| (2) 50–80° ₀ | | | | | | |
| $(NH_4)_{3}SO_4$ ppt | 100 | 540 | 59 | 91 | 51 | 3 |
| 3) Concentrated | | | | | | |
| dialysis ppt | 8 | 1800 | 3 4 | 530 | 14 | 20 |
| (4) Sephadex G-100 | | | | | | |

TABLE E EXTRACTION OF TOMATO ENDOPOLIGALACTURO (ASE

1200

360

25

not pectin. Pectic acid degradation proceeded with no detectable increase in the absorbance at 235 nm. This suggests that the enzyme is hydrolytic ⁶ Activity was such that an increase in A235 nm of 15 units would have been expected if the enzyme cleaved by a transeliminase mechanism. Studies comparing per cent decrease in viscosity suggest that this enzyme cleaves its substrate in a random, rather than sequential, manner. These results are presented in Table 2. Random cleaving enzymes produce a low rate of hydrolysis, about 2-3% at 50% decrease in viscosity. With a sequential attack the rate of hydrolysis is higher, about 20-25% at 50% decrease in viscosity.

LXPERIMENTAL

Mechani sized, yellow orange vine ripened Homestead tomatoes were used as an enzyme source (Step 1) Enzymes were extracted by adding one vol-cold NaCl buffer soln $(0.05\,\mathrm{M}\ \mathrm{Na}_3\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_8\mathrm{O}_7,\,1.7\,\mathrm{M}\ \mathrm{NaCl},\,\mathrm{pH}.5.5)$ to iterate since and homogenizing in a blender for 1 mm. The resulting fluid was allowed to stand overnight

| TABBLE 2 | Beeaters, between the cent decrease in viscosies | |
|----------|--|--|
| | AND PER CENT HYDROLYSIS | |

| Reaction time (min) | Decrease in viscosity (° _o) | Hydrolysis* (° _o) | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 | 23 | -0.1 | |
| 10 | 40 | 0 | |
| 15 | 49 | 0.1 | |
| 30 | 72 | 0.3 | |
| 60 | 82 | 0.5 | |
| 120 | 91 | 1.0 | |
| 300 | 94 | 2.2 | |

^{*} Followed by increase in reducing units

^{*}Activity followed by changes in substrate viscosity: Enzyme assays were performed with fractions diluted to produce between 15-30% decrease in viscosity in 1 hr. Over this activity range the o , decrease in viscosity-time relationship is essentially linear. With this system the major measure of enzyme is the dilution required to bring its activity into this 15-30% range. A unit of enzyme activity equals a 25° , decrease in viscosity in 1 hr.

[†] Determined by absorbance at 280 and 260 nm

⁶ Albersheim, P., Neukom, H. and Drutt, H. (1960), Heb. Chin. Acta 43, 1422.

NAGIL, C. W. and VALGHN, R. H. (1961). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 93, 344.
NAGIL, C. W. and VALGHN, R. H. (1961). Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 94, 328.

VORAGEN, A. G. 1 (1972) Characterization of pectin lyases on pectins and methyl oligogalacturonates. Agric Res Rep. 780 Centre for Agric Pub. and Doc. Wageningen, Netherlands

¹⁰ MACMILLAN, I. D., PHALL, H. L. and VAUGHN, R. H. (1964), Biochemistry, 3, 572.

at 4°, then centrifuged (15000 g for 30 min) to remove insoluble material, and filtered (Whatman No 1) (Step 2) The fluid from Step 1 was cooled to 0° made 50% saturated by the slow addition of granular (NH₄)₂SO₄, and centrifuged (15000 g for 30 min) The supernatant was made 80% saturated with (NH₄)₂SO₄ and recentrifuged The resulting pellet was solubilized in a minimum vol of buffer (0.05 M Na citrate, pH 5.5) (Step 3) The fluid from Step 2 was dialyzed against 4 changes of H₂O and centrifuged (15000 g for 30 min) The pellet was suspended in 25 ml cold buffer and placed on a stirrer at 4° overnight. Insoluble material was removed by centri-PM-30 filter (Step 4) This concentrate was placed on a Sephadex G-100 column and eluted with buffer at 4° Enzyme activity was measured by a viscosity method, 11 by following the increase in reducing units, 12 or

Enzyme activity was measured by a viscosity method, 11 by following the increase in reducing units, 12 or A235 nm 6 Size 300 Cannon-Fenske viscometers were used to follow viscosity changes. Substrates were 1% soln of pectic acid (Na Polypectate No 6024) and pectin (Pectin, L.M. No 3446) obtained from Sunkist Growers, Ontario, California Buffer was used to suspend the substrates. Merthiolate was added to a final concentration of 0.002% to prevent bacterial growth

Acknowledgements—This investigation was supported by National Science Foundation grants GB-4738X and GB-8383, Public Health Service Research Grant AI-07247 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and by USDA Cooperative State Research Service grant 916-15-04

¹¹ LILLICH, T T and ELKAN, G H (1968) Can J Microbiol 14, 617

¹² Nelson, N (1944) J Biol Chem 153, 375